



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/143,967		08/31/1998	RANDAL LEE BERTMAN	RP9-95-017V	4218
45211	7590	08/31/2004		EXAMINER	
KELLY K.			HUYNH, BA		
WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK PC PO BOX 50784				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS,		201		2179	
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



!	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/143,967	BERTMAN ET AL.	
Autiony Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ba Huynh	2179	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The se have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropount of the fee. The approporiginally set in the final Of	n. see MPEP striate extension priate extension ffice action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 August 2004</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ı in
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	plifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	,
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT	place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			id an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 45, 46, 57, 57, 69, 70.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>38,39,50,51,62,63 and 73-79</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 -	/
10. ☐ Other:		BANUYNE PRIMARY EXAM	AINER
	<		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants fail to properly and persuasively response to the final Office action. Instead, the applicants refer to the 3/9/04 response which is a response prior to the amendment of the claims and not correspond to the rationales set forth in the final rejection.

_